

Friday November 8 Lecture Notes

1 Projective Modules

A universal property characterization of free modules:

Proposition Let F be a free R module and let B be a base for F . Suppose $i : B \rightarrow F$ is the inclusion map. Let M be any R module and let $f : B \rightarrow M$ be any function, then there exists unique g (R module homomorphism) s.t.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & F & \\
 & \nearrow g & \\
 i \uparrow & & \\
 & B & \xrightarrow{f} M
 \end{array}$$

commutes.

Definition An R module P is projective if for all surjective R module homomorphisms $p : A \rightarrow B$ and all R module homomorphisms $h : P \rightarrow B$, there exists a unique g s.t.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & P & & \\
 & g \swarrow & \downarrow h & & \\
 A & \xrightarrow{p} & B & \longrightarrow & 0
 \end{array}$$

commutes.

Proposition Free modules are projective.

Proof Let F be a free module with base $B = \{b_i : i \in I\}$. Suppose we have R module homomorphisms $p : A \rightarrow B$ (surjective) and $h : F \rightarrow B$. Since p is surjective, for each $i \in I$, there is $a_i \in A$ s.t. $p(a_i) = h(b_i)$. By freeness, there exists $g : F \rightarrow A$ with $b_i \mapsto a_i$.

Definition A functor $T : R\text{-mod} \rightarrow \text{Grp}_{\text{Abel}}$ is exact if whenever $0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{i} B \xrightarrow{p} C \rightarrow 0$ is exact, then $0 \rightarrow T(A) \xrightarrow{T_i} T(B) \xrightarrow{T_p} T(C) \rightarrow 0$ is exact.

Proposition An R module P is projective iff $\text{Hom}_R(P, -)$ is exact.

Proof Take an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{i} B \xrightarrow{p} C \rightarrow 0$ (regardless of the assumption on P , $0 = \text{Hom}_R(P, 0) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(P, A) \xrightarrow{i_*} \text{Hom}_R(P, B) \xrightarrow{p_*} \text{Hom}_R(P, C)$ is exact). Note that $\ker i_* = \{f \in \text{Hom}_R(P, A) : if = 0\} = \{f \in \text{Hom}_R(P, A) : f(P) \subseteq \ker i\} = 0$. Now, $\ker p_* = \{g \in \text{Hom}_R(P, B) : pg = 0\} = \{g \in \text{Hom}_R(P, B) : g(P) \subseteq \ker p\} = \{g \in \text{Hom}_R(P, B) : g(P) \subseteq \text{im } i\}$, but i is injective, so for all $q \in P$, there exists a unique $a_q \in A$ s.t. $g(q) = i(a_q)$, so define $h \in \text{Hom}_R(P, A)$ by $h(q) = a_q$. We can check that this is a module map homomorphism, and that $ih = g$, so $\ker p_* = \text{im } i_*$. Suppose P is projective. Given $h : P \rightarrow C$, by projective there is g s.t.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & P & & & \\ & & & \downarrow g & \searrow h & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & A & \xrightarrow{i} & B & \xrightarrow{p} & C \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

commutes. So $h = pg = p_*g$, and thus p_* is onto, so $\text{Hom}_R(P, -)$ is exact.

Suppose $\text{Hom}_R(P, -)$ is exact. if $p : B \rightarrow C$ is a surjection, then $0 \rightarrow \ker p \rightarrow B \xrightarrow{p} C \rightarrow 0$ is exact. So P_* is surjective, and given $h : P \rightarrow C$, there is g with $p_*g = h$, i.e.,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & P & \\ g \swarrow & \downarrow h & \\ B & \xrightarrow{p} & C \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

commutes. So p is projective.

Proposition Let P be an R module. Then P be projective iff every short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{i} B \xrightarrow{p} C \rightarrow 0$ splits.

Proof Assume P is projective. Then there exists j s.t.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & P & \\ j \swarrow & \downarrow 1_P & \\ B & \xrightarrow{p} & P \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

commutes, but $pj = 1_P$, so j is the splitting map. Now suppose every short exact sequence of the given form splits. Take a surjection $q : B \rightarrow C$ and $f : P \rightarrow C$, and consider

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} D & \xrightarrow{\pi_P} & P & & \\ \pi_B \downarrow & & \downarrow f & & \\ B & \xrightarrow{q} & C & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

where $D = \{(b, p) : b \in B, p \in P, q(b) = f(p)\}$ is an R module and it has two coordinate projections π_B and π_P (as it turns out, this is a categorical pullback). Surjectivity of q implies that for any $p \in P$, there is b s.t. $q(b) = f(p)$, so π_P is surjective, and $0 \rightarrow \ker \pi_P \rightarrow D \xrightarrow{\pi_P} P \rightarrow 0$ splits by hypothesis, by $h : P \rightarrow D$, say, s.t.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D & \xrightleftharpoons[\pi_P]{h} & P \\ \pi_B \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ B & \xrightarrow{q} & C \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

commutes. So $\pi_B h$ shows that p is projective.

Proposition An R module P is projective iff P is a direct summand of a free module.

Proof Suppose P is projective. Every module is a quotient of a free module, so, in particular, there is some surjection $g : F \rightarrow P$ where F is free. So $0 \rightarrow \ker g \rightarrow F \rightarrow P \rightarrow 0$ is exact, so it splits, and $F \simeq P \oplus \ker g$. Assume P is a direct summand of a free module F . Then, we have a surjection $g : F \rightarrow P$ and $j : P \rightarrow F$, and $gj = 1_P$. Suppose there is a surjection $q : B \rightarrow C$ and a map $f : P \rightarrow C$ s.t.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F & \xrightleftharpoons[j]{g} & P \\ & & \downarrow f \\ B & \xrightarrow{q} & C \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

commutes. Since F is free, it is projective, and so there is h s.t.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & F & \\ h \swarrow & \downarrow fg & \\ B & \xrightarrow{q} & C \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

commutes, and thus hj satisfies the definition of projectivity for P .

e.g. Take $R = \mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z} = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$, and let $I = \{0, 3\}$ and $J = \{0, 2, 4\}$ be ideals of R . Then $R = I \oplus J$, but neither I , nor J are free as R modules, but they are projective.

2 Chain Complexes

Definition Let M be an R module. A projective resolution of M is an exact sequence $\cdots \rightarrow P_2 \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$, where P_0, P_1, \dots are projective modules. A projective resolution is a free resolution if P_0, P_1, \dots are free.

Proposition Every module M has a free resolution.

Proof Choose a spanning set of M , and let F_0 be the free module on this set. Consider $0 \rightarrow \ker \varepsilon_0 \rightarrow F_0 \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_0} M \rightarrow 0$, where ε_0 takes the spanning set to itself. Also, $0 \rightarrow \ker \varepsilon_1 \rightarrow F_1 \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_1} \ker \varepsilon_0 \rightarrow 0$, and

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & \ker \varepsilon_1 & \longrightarrow & F_1 & \xrightarrow{d_1} & F_0 & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & & & & \searrow & \uparrow & & & & \\
 & & & & & q_1 & \ker \varepsilon_0 & & & &
 \end{array}$$

Then $\text{im } d_1 = \text{im } \varepsilon_1 = \ker \varepsilon_0$, which proves exactness. Moreover, $\ker d_1 = \ker \varepsilon_1$, which also proves exactness. Continue this process to obtain a free resolution.

Definition A chain complex C_\bullet (or (C_\bullet, d_\bullet)) is a sequence of modules and maps for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\cdots \rightarrow C_{n+1} \xrightarrow{d_{n+1}} C_n \xrightarrow{d_n} C_{n-1} \xrightarrow{d_{n-1}} \cdots$, in which $d_n d_{n+1} = 0$. Here, d_n is called differentiation.

Note: $d_n d_{n+1} = 0$ iff $\text{im } d_{n+1} \subseteq \ker d_n$

e.g. An exact sequence, infinite in both directions, is a chain complex.

e.g. $\cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots$ (the zero complex 0_\bullet)

e.g. An exact sequence which ends with 0 can be extended: $\cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots$

e.g. Let $C_\bullet = \cdots \rightarrow C_{n+1} \xrightarrow{d_{n+1}} C_n \xrightarrow{d_n} C_{n-1} \xrightarrow{d_{n-1}} \cdots$ be a chain complex, and let $F : R\text{-mod} \rightarrow R\text{-mod}$ be a functor with the additive property: $F(f+g) = F(f) + F(g)$ for morphism f and g . Then

$$F(C_\bullet) = \cdots \rightarrow F(C_{n+1}) \xrightarrow{F(d_{n+1})} F(C_n) \xrightarrow{F(d_n)} F(C_{n-1}) \xrightarrow{F(d_{n-1})} \cdots$$

is a chain complex because the additive property implies that $F(f+0) = F(f) + F(0) = F(f)$, i.e., $F(0) = 0 = F(d_n d_{n+1}) = F(d_n)F(d_{n+1})$ (since F is a functor).

Note: $F(C_\bullet)$ may not be exact even if C_\bullet is exact.

Definition If (C_\bullet, d_\bullet) is a chain complex, then n -cycles, $Z_n(C_\bullet) = \ker d_n \subseteq C_n$, and n -boundaries $B_n(C_\bullet) = \text{im } d_{n+1} \subseteq C_n$.

Note: $\text{im } d_{n+1} \subseteq \ker d_n$, so $B_n(C_\bullet) \subseteq Z_n(C_\bullet)$.

Definition If C_\bullet is a chain complex, then the n th homology $H_n(C_\bullet) = Z_n(C_\bullet)/B_n(C_\bullet)$.

Note: We can make a category of chain complexes. The objects will be chain complexes of R modules, and the morphism will be chain maps. For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, H_n is a functor from chain complexes to R modules.

We saw what H_n does on objects, but what about the morphisms?

Given

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \cdots & \longrightarrow & C_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{d_{n+1}} & C_n & \xrightarrow{d_n} & C_{n-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \\
 & & \downarrow f_{n+1} & & \downarrow f_n & & \downarrow f_{n-1} \\
 \cdots & \longrightarrow & C'_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{d'_{n+1}} & C'_n & \xrightarrow{d'_n} & C'_{n-1} \longrightarrow \cdots
 \end{array}$$

we define $H_n(f_\bullet) : H_n(C_\bullet) \rightarrow H_n(C'_\bullet)$ iff $H_n(f_\bullet) = Z_n(C_\bullet)/B_n(C_\bullet) \rightarrow Z_n(C'_\bullet)/B_n(C'_\bullet)$ by $z_n + B_n(C_\bullet) \mapsto f_n(z_n) + B_n(C'_\bullet)$.

Version: 1.1